



ITW

Edwin L. Swinehart
Art Unit 3617
TC 3600, KNOX
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Edward Linsley
P.O. Box 238
Wetumpka, AL 36092
Application No. 10/673,866
Twister Wings Sailboat
June 17, 2008

Your letter of 05/22/2008, specifying a 30-day response time from that date, had not arrived at my P.O. Box by 10 AM on 05/26/2008 (Memorial Day). I picked it up on Wednesday, 05/28/2008 at 11 AM. Your timing, cutting off one week of my response time, was remarkable.

Your required Amendments to the Claims, Numbers 4, A, B, C and E, imply that there is some known status to my Claims which I can identify for responding to the Amendments. However, from the start in 2003, I have never had the privilege of knowing the status of my Claims. Your letter of 12/17/2007 referencing to seven independent claims, was an atrocity. It just demonstrates that I have no hope of knowing where to start and what path to follow; even if I attempted to apply the CFR's that you specify. Therefore, I will continue on my own path in which the Specification reflects three years testing of a prototype, and presently defines 'a' sailboat and one independent claim.

Page one of your letter states that failure to timely respond to your notice will result in Abandonment of the application. If that occurs, put it in writing and send it to my P.O. Box.

I do not intend to abandon my application. Therefore, I specifically request that you maintain the original Title, Application Number and date of my application in the Patent Office data bank.

Edward Linsley

Copy: Steven Brantley
Office of Petitions



Edwin L. Swinehart
Art Unit 3617
TC 3600, KNOX
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Edward Linsley
P.O. Box 238
Wetumpka, AL 36092
Application No. 10/673,866
Twister Wings Sailboat
June 17, 2008

Your letter of 05/22/2008, specifying a 30-day response time from that date, had not arrived at my P.O. Box by 10 AM on 05/26/2008 (Memorial Day). I picked it up on Wednesday, 05/28/2008 at 11 AM. Your timing, cutting off one week of my response time, was remarkable.

Your required Amendments to the Claims, Numbers 4, A, B, C and E, imply that there is some known status to my Claims which I can identify for responding to the Amendments. However, from the start in 2003, I have never had the privilege of knowing the status of my Claims. Your letter of 12/17/2007 referencing to seven independent claims, was an atrocity. It just demonstrates that I have no hope of knowing where to start and what path to follow; even if I attempted to apply the CFR's that you specify. Therefore, I will continue on my own path in which the Specification reflects three years testing of a prototype, and presently defines 'a' sailboat and one independent claim.

Page one of your letter states that failure to timely respond to your notice will result in Abandonment of the application. If that occurs, put it in writing and send it to my P.O. Box.

I do not intend to abandon my application. Therefore, I specifically request that you maintain the original Title, Application Number and date of my application in the Patent Office data bank.

Copy: Steven Brantley
Office of Petitions



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Copy

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/673,866

09/29/2003

Edward L. Linsley

4632

7590
Edward L. Linsley
P.O. Box 238
Wetumpka, AL 36092

05/22/2008

EXAMINER

SWINEHART, EDWIN L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/22/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



COPY

**Notice of Non-Compliant
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)**

Application No.

10/673,866

Examiner

Ed Swinehart

Applicant(s)

LINSLEY, EDWARD L.

Art Unit

3617

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

The amendment document filed on 19 February 2008 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:

- ☐ 1. Amendments to the specification:
- ☐ A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.
 - ☐ B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.
 - ☐ C. Other _____.
- ☐ 2. Abstract:
- ☐ A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.
 - ☐ B. Other _____.
- ☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings:
- ☐ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 - ☐ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.
 - ☐ C. Other _____.
- ☒ 4. Amendments to the claims:
- ☒ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.
 - ☒ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)
 - ☒ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
 - ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.
 - ☒ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.
- ☒ 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
the amendment has not been signed.

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.

TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

1. Applicant is given **no new time period** if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the **entire corrected amendment** must be resubmitted.
2. Applicant is given **one month**, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the **corrected section** of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable

/Ed Swinehart/

Telephone No.